IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID PIERGROSSI,

Plaintiff, : Civil Action No. 17-01575

v. : Magistrate Judge Maureen P. Kelly

DR. PAUL NOEL and

DR. MICHAEL HERBIK,

Filed via Electronic Case Filing

Defendants.

APPENDIX TO DOC DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Exhibit A: Grievance Policy, DC-ADM 804

Exhibit B: Declaration of Rhonda House

Exhibit C: Grievance Chart

Exhibit D: Grievance no. 665565

Exhibit E: Grievance no. 672757

Respectfully submitted, Office of General Counsel

By: /s/ Kelly J. Hoke

Kelly J. Hoke

Assistant Counsel

Attorney I.D. No. 202917

Pennsylvania Department of Corrections

Office of Chief Counsel 1920 Technology Parkway Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

(717) 728-7749

Dated: February 1, 2018 Email: kelhoke@pa.gov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID PIERGROSSI,

Plaintiff,

: Civil Action No. 17-01575

v.

Magistrate Judge Maureen P. Kelly

DR. PAUL NOEL and

DR. MICHAEL HERBIK,

Filed via Electronic Case Filing

Defendants.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing appendix has been filed electronically and is available for viewing and downloading from the ECF system by Bret Grote and Louis J. Kroeck, IV, counsel for Plaintiff, and therefore satisfies the service requirements under *Fed.R.Civ.P.* 5(b)(2)(E); L.Cv.R. 5.6.

/s/Shelly R. Holley

Shelly R. Holley Legal Assistant

Pennsylvania Department of Corrections

Office of Chief Counsel 1920 Technology Parkway Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

(717) 728-7763

Dated: February 1, 2018





POLICY STATEMENT Commonwealth of Pennsylvania • Department of Corrections

Policy Subject:		Policy Number:	
Inmate Grievance System		DC-ADM 804	
Date of Issue:	Authority:	Effective Date:	
April 27, 2015	Signature on File	May 1, 2015	
	John E. Wetzel	•	

I. AUTHORITY

The Authority of the Secretary of Corrections to direct the operation of the Department of Corrections is established by Sections 201, 206, 506, and 901-B of the Administrative Code of 1929, 71 P.S. §§61, 66, 186, and 310-1, Act of April 9, 1929, P.L. 177, No. 175, as amended.

II. APPLICABILITY

This policy is applicable to all facilities operated under the jurisdiction of, or conducting business with the Department of Corrections, Department employees, volunteers, contract personnel, visitors and inmates.

III. POLICY

It is the policy of the Department that every individual committed to its custody shall have access to a formal procedure through which to seek resolution of problems or other issues of concern arising during the course of confinement. For every such issue, there shall be a forum for review and two avenues of appeal. The formal procedure shall be known as the Inmate Grievance System.¹

^{14-4281, 4-4284, 4-4394, 4-}ACRS-6B-03

IV. PROCEDURES

All applicable procedures are contained in the procedures manual that accompanies this policy document.

V. SUSPENSION DURING AN EMERGENCY

In an emergency or extended disruption of normal facility operation, the Secretary/designee may suspend any provision or section of this policy for a specific period.

VI. RIGHTS UNDER THIS POLICY

This policy does not create rights in any person nor should it be interpreted or applied in such a manner as to abridge the rights of any individual. This policy should be interpreted to have sufficient flexibility to be consistent with law and to permit the accomplishment of the purpose(s) of the policies of the Department of Corrections.

VII. RELEASE OF INFORMATION AND DISSEMINATION OF POLICY

A. Release of Information

1. Policy

This policy document is public information and may be released upon request.

2. Confidential Procedures (if applicable)

Confidential procedures for this document, if any, are <u>not public information</u> and may not be released in its entirety or in part, without the approval of the Secretary of Corrections/designee. Confidential procedures may be released to any Department of Corrections employee on an as needed basis.

B. Distribution of Policy

1. General Distribution

The Department of Corrections' policy and procedures shall be distributed to the members of the Central Office Executive Staff, all Facility Managers, and Community Corrections Regional Directors on a routine basis. Distribution of confidential procedures to other individuals and/or agencies is subject to the approval of the Secretary of Corrections/designee.

2. Distribution to Staff

It is the responsibility of those individuals receiving policies and procedures, as indicated in the "General Distribution" section above, to ensure that each employee

expected or required to perform the necessary procedures/duties is issued a copy of the policy and procedures either in hard copy or via email, whichever is most appropriate.

VIII. SUPERSEDED POLICY AND CROSS REFERENCE

A. Superseded Policy

1. Department Policy

DC-ADM 804, Inmaté Grievance System, issued March 31, 2014, by Secretary John E. Wetzel.

2. Facility Policy and Procedures

This document supersedes all facility policy and procedures on this subject.

B. Cross Reference(s)

- 1. Administrative Manuals
 - a. DC-ADM 001, Inmate Abuse Allegation Monitoring;
 - b. DC-ADM 006, Reasonable Accommodations for Inmates with Disabilities;
 - c. DC-ADM 008, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA);
 - d. DC-ADM 801, Inmate Discipline;
 - e. DC-ADM 802, Administrative Custody procedures;
 - f. 3.1.1, Fiscal Administration; and
 - g. 6.3.1, Facility Security;

2. ACA Standards

- a. Adult Correctional Institutions: 4-4016, 4-4281, 4-4284, 4-4301, 4-4394, 4-4429
- Adult Community Residential Services: 4-ACRS-6B-01, 4-ACRS-6B-03, 4-ACRS-7D-36
- c. Correctional Training Academies: None

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review

A. Filing of an Initial Grievance

- 1. The Department encourages an inmate to express his/her concerns to staff through respectful, constructive, written, or oral communication so that problems are resolved as soon as possible.¹
- 2. The Inmate Grievance System is intended to deal with a wide range of issues, procedures, or events that may be of concern to an inmate. It is not meant to address incidents of an urgent or emergency nature including allegations of sexual abuse. Any allegation of a sexual nature (abuse/harassment) against a staff member or inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse must be addressed through Department policy DC-ADM 008, "Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)." When faced with an incident of an urgent or emergency nature, the inmate shall contact the nearest staff member for immediate assistance.
- An inmate is encouraged to attempt resolution of a concern informally by use of a DC-135A, Inmate Request to Staff Member or direct conversation with the Unit Manager or Officer-in-Charge prior to submitting a DC-804, Part 1, Official Inmate Grievance Form (Attachment 1-A). This is not required in cases of allegations of physical or sexual abuse.
 - a. A staff member who receives an oral or written concern from an inmate is expected to attempt to resolve the concern if possible.
 - b. It is encouraged, when possible, to resolve every concern quickly and informally.
 - c. If the staff member is not the appropriate person to resolve the concern raised by the inmate, he/she should be referred to the appropriate staff member.
 - d. The Unit Manager or Officer-in-Charge shall document the result of the resolution, including each party involved, in the Inmate Cumulative Adjustment Record (ICAR).
- 4. While an inmate should make every effort to resolve a concern informally prior to filing an official grievance, failure to attempt to informally resolve a concern will not be cause to reject an official grievance. However, if an attempt was made to resolve the concern informally, this information should be included in Section B of the DC-804, Part 1.
- 5. When an inmate has a concern that he/she is unable to resolve, the inmate must submit his/her grievance to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee using the **DC-804**, **Part 1**.
 - a. DC-804, Part 1 forms shall be readily available on every housing unit as well as in the main and mini-law libraries.

14-4016

- b. Each copy of the DC-804, Part 1 form, with the exception of the inmate's copy (GOLDENROD), shall be forwarded to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee who will determine whether the grievance will be accepted or rejected.
- 6. A grievance regarding an allegation of a sexual nature (abuse/harassment) against a staff member or inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse will not be addressed through the Inmate Grievance System and must be addressed through Department policy DC-ADM 008, in accordance with Subsection C.4.b. below. These allegations are taken seriously by the Department and must and will be investigated to make sure that inmates are safe in the facilities. If a grievance is filed against a staff member regarding an allegation of a sexual nature (abuse/harassment) or inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, the grievance will be immediately forwarded to the Security Department as well as the PREA Compliance Manager in accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 008 to start an investigation and will not be addressed through the Inmate Grievance System.
- 7. Issues concerning a specific inmate misconduct charge, conduct of hearing, statements written within a misconduct and/or other report, a specific disciplinary sanction, and/or the reasons for placement in administrative custody will not be addressed through the Inmate Grievance System and must be addressed through Department policy DC-ADM 801, "Inmate Discipline" and/or DC-ADM 802, "Administrative Custody Procedures." Issues other than specified above must be addressed through the Inmate Grievance System.
- 8. The inmate must submit a grievance to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee, usually the Superintendent's Assistant, within 15 working days after the event upon which the claim is based.
- 9. A grievance must be filed with the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee at the facility where the grievance event occurred.
- 10. The inmate must sign and date the grievance with his/her commitment name and number only, without reference to the UCC, aliases, etc.
- 11. The text of the grievance must be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner. The inmate must include a statement of the facts relevant to the claim.
 - a. The statement of facts shall include the date, approximate time, and location of the event(s) that gave rise to the grievance.
 - b. The inmate shall identify individuals directly involved in the event(s).
 - c. The inmate shall specifically state any claims he/she wishes to make concerning violations of Department directives, regulations, court orders, or other law.
 - d. If the inmate desires compensation or other legal relief normally available from a court, the inmate must request the specific relief sought in his/her initial grievance.

- 12. The statement of facts must not exceed two pages and must be handwritten or typed on writing paper (one **DC-804**, **Part 1** and one one-sided 8 ½" x 11" page).
- 13. An inmate who has been personally affected by a Department and/or facility action or policy will be permitted to submit a grievance.
- 14. Any grievance based on separate events must be presented separately, unless it is necessary to combine the issues to support the claim.
- 15. Any grievance issue that has been or is currently being addressed will not be readdressed in a subsequent grievance. Any concern disputing previous grievances, *initial review responses*, appeal decisions, or *actions of* staff members who rendered those decisions should be addressed through the appeal process outlined in **Section 2** of this procedures manual.
- 16. Each grievance must be presented individually. A grievance submitted by one inmate for another inmate or a group of inmates is prohibited and will not be processed.
- 17. An inmate filing a grievance related to a claim of missing property must provide documentation such as a DC-153A, Personal Property Inventory Sheet; DC-154A, Confiscated Items Receipt; or a Commissary/Outside Purchase Form for evidence or proof that the property items were once in his/her possession. Failure to do so may result in the rejection of the grievance.
- 18. A grievance related to a publication/photograph denial must include a copy of the Notice of Incoming Publication Form in accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 803, "Inmate Mail and Incoming Publications."
- 19. An inmate filing a grievance contesting the accuracy of the Notification of Deductions Memo and/or the Notification of Amended Deductions Memo of Department policy DC-ADM 005, "Collection of Inmate Debts," must provide valid, official court documentation proving that:
 - a. the financial obligations have previously been paid;
 - b. the information submitted on the official court documents by the Clerk of Courts was incorrect;
 - c. for a sentencing occurring prior to December 26, 2010, the sentencing judge did not impose court costs;
 - d. for a sentencing occurring on or after December 26, 2010, the sentencing judge specifically waived court costs;
 - e. in the case of an obligation for restitution, reparation, fines, or penalties, the sentencing court did not specifically order you to pay such obligation regardless of the date of sentence;

- f. a more recent court order removed or postponed the financial obligations;
- g. the financial obligations are not currently due under the terms of the court order;
- h. the Crime Victim Compensation/Victim's Services Fee was not computed in accordance with law;
- the court costs are related to an adjudication of delinquency, and you have attained the age of 21. If you are not yet 21, and are paying these fees, you should notify the Business Office on your 21st birthday; or
- i. you do not owe the financial obligations for any other reason.
 - **NOTE**: Failure to provide any of the above with your grievance may result in rejection of your grievance.
- 20. If a grievance is rejected, the grievance may be re-submitted, using the same grievance number, within five working days of the rejection notice date. A rejected grievance may only be re-submitted one time.
- 21. An inmate may appeal the rejected grievance to the Facility Manager in accordance with Section 2 of this procedures manual.
- 22. In a case involving personal property, the inmate must clearly notify the Facility Manager or Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee to retain the property pending completion of the grievance process, including any appeal. This notification can be made within the initial grievance.
- 23. For cases regarding confiscated contraband, destruction of the property shall only occur after the appeal process has been exhausted.
- 24. No inmate shall be punished, retaliated against, or otherwise harmed for use of the grievance system.²
- 25. At any point in the grievance process, the inmate may withdraw the grievance.
 - a. To withdraw a grievance, an inmate must use and sign the Grievance Withdrawal Form (Attachment 1-B), identify the grievance to be withdrawn by number, the reason why the grievance was withdrawn, and forward the form to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee.
 - The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will forward a copy of the Grievance Withdrawal Form to the inmate's counselor.

² 4-4281

- c. The inmate's counselor will meet with the inmate to verify that the grievance was resolved and that the withdrawal was appropriate.
- d. The counselor will sign the **Grievance Withdrawal Form** and forward it to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee.
- e. Once a grievance is withdrawn, the inmate cannot then proceed to appeal to either the Facility Manager or Final Review.
- 26. Any document(s) attached in support of a grievance becomes part of the official record and will not be returned. The inmate should make copies of the supporting documents prior to submitting to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee for use in any subsequent appeals. If copies are needed, the procedures outlined in Department policy DC-ADM 003, "Release of Information" must be followed.
- 27. A grievance cannot be filed after parole or release from incarceration.
- 28. An inmate transferred to a county facility or other state must use the grievance system at that facility regarding any issue that arises at that facility.

B. Where to Place a Grievance

- 1. Each Facility Manager/designee shall ensure that a fixed lock-box designated for inmate grievances is on each general population housing unit, all Level 5 Housing Units, Specialized Housing Units, and Inmate Dining Halls.
- 2. Each lock-box shall be clearly labeled "grievances" and the inmate shall be permitted to place grievances in these lock-boxes.
- 3. General Population Housing Units

The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will be responsible for the key and retrieval of the lock-box contents each work day.

- 4. Level 5 Housing Units and/or Specialized Housing Units
 - a. The lock-box shall be placed in a location easily accessible to an inmate being escorted to an individual exercise unit and/or shower.
 - b. If an inmate chooses not to go to an individual exercise unit and/or shower, he/she may have a staff member place the grievance in the lock-box.
 - c. The Facility Grievance Coordinator is responsible for the key and retrieval of the lock-box contents each work day.
- 5. Inmate Dining Halls

- a. This lock-box is for inmates to place their inmate grievances in which the Facility Grievance Coordinator is the only staff member to collect these grievance forms.
- b. The Facility Grievance Coordinator is responsible for the key and the retrieval of the contents of the lock-box each work day.

C. Initial Review

- 1. The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee shall assign a grievance tracking number to every grievance (even a rejected grievance) upon receipt and enter every grievance into the Automated Inmate Grievance Tracking System.
 - a. The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee shall enter the date the grievance was received, a summary or description of the grievance subject matter, and the category.
 - b. The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee may combine multiple grievances from the same inmate that relate to the same subject.
- 2. A time extension for filing a grievance will be considered on a case-by-case basis. The inmate must notify the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee of the reason for the delay. The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will consider the reason given and also consider if the delay was caused by:
 - a. a temporary transfer from the facility where the grievance should have been filed;
 - b. a permanent transfer to another facility from the facility where the grievance should have been filed;
 - c. Authorized Temporary Absence (ATA) for an extended period;
 - d. another delay with mail delivery; or
 - e. any other reason the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee deems appropriate.

NOTE: If it is determined that a delay was caused by a circumstance listed above, a reasonable extension of time for filing shall be permitted.

3. If the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee determines that the grievance is properly submitted according to this procedures manual, the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will designate a staff member to serve as the Grievance Officer for that grievance. The staff member who serves as the Grievance Officer shall not be directly involved in or named as the subject of the grievance in Section A and/or B of the DC-804, Part 1.

NOTE: The PINK copy of the **DC-804**, **Part 1** form will be returned to the inmate acknowledging acceptance of the grievance.

- 4. If the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee determines that the grievance is not properly submitted according to this procedures manual, it shall be rejected and returned to the inmate with a **Grievance Rejection Form (Attachment 1-C)** enumerating the reason(s) the grievance was rejected.
 - a. When rejected, the entire grievance packet will be returned to the inmate along with any exhibits. The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will retain one photocopy of the grievance and any exhibits.
 - b. When the grievance is in regards to Department policy DC-ADM 008, the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee shall do the following:
 - immediately forward a copy of the grievance to the Security Office to be investigated in accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 008 as well as to the PREA Compliance Manager; and
 - (2) the rejection notice to the inmate shall state: "Sexual abuse is taken seriously by the Department of Corrections. Any allegations of a sexual nature (abuse/harassment) against a staff member or inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse must be investigated to make sure that inmates are safe in this facility. This grievance is being forwarded to the Security Office and the PREA Compliance Manager for initiation of an investigation."

5. The Grievance Officer shall:

- a. submit his/her proposed response to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee prior to distribution to the inmate;
- b. the response shall be typed on the Initial Review Response Form (Attachment 1-D);
- the response shall include a brief rationale summarizing the conclusion and any action taken or recommended to resolve every issue raised as well as any requested relief;
- d. if a policy is being cited within the initial review response, the specific policy number, section, etc., must be included;
- e. the response must include one of the following dispositions: Uphold Inmate, Grievance Denied or Uphold in Part/Deny in Part;
- f. if the grievance is deemed frivolous, the response must include a statement setting forth the reason(s);
- g. the response shall be provided to the inmate within 15 working days from the date the grievance was entered into the Automated Inmate Grievance Tracking System; and

- an extension of ten additional working days may be requested from the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee if the investigation of the grievance is ongoing.
- 6. The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee shall:
 - a. review the proposed response from the Grievance Officer for consistency with policy and procedures;
 - b. if further review is required, the response will be returned to the Grievance Officer;
 - c. if the proposed response is approved, the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee shall initial the response and return it to the Grievance Officer for distribution to the inmate:
 - d. once the Grievance Officer's response is complete, the date of the response and a summary of the Grievance Officer's decision should be entered into the Automated Inmate Grievance Tracking System; and
 - e. if an extension is granted to the Grievance Officer, the extension must be entered into the Automated Inmate Grievance Tracking System and the inmate must be notified in writing using the Extension Form (Attachment 1-E).
- 7. A grievance filed against Parole and/or Central Office staff should not be rejected, except for non-policy compliant reasons. If necessary, Parole and/or Central Office staff can be contacted by the facility to supply information needed for the Grievance Officer to provide a complete response. It should be noted that there may be circumstances in which facility staff cannot address a parole issue. If this occurs, the Grievance Officer should provide a response to the inmate which includes an address and/or contact person from Parole to whom the inmate can direct their issue and/or concern.

D. Allegation of Abuse Grievances

- 1. This section does not apply to allegations of a sexual nature (abuse/harassment) against a staff member and/or inmate-on-inmate sexual *abuse*. These allegations will be handled in accordance with **Subsection A.6. above** and Department policy **DC-ADM 008**.
- 2. A grievance dealing with allegations of abuse shall be handled in accordance with Department policy **DC-ADM 001**, "Inmate Abuse."
- 3. This may extend the time for responding to the grievance, but will not after the inmate's ability to appeal upon his/her receipt of the initial review response.
- 4. When a grievance is related to an allegation of abuse, the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will issue an **Extension Notice** to the inmate by checking the box "Notice of Investigation" on the Extension Form.

- 5. The initial review response will be completed by the assigned Grievance Officer *after* the results from the Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence (OSII) are received.
- 6. If a grievance dealing with allegations of abuse is rejected, the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee shall:
 - a. immediately forward a copy of the grievance to the Security Office to be investigated in accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 001; and
 - b. the rejection notice to the inmate shall include a statement informing the inmate that his/her grievance was forwarded to the Security Office for investigation in accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 001.

E. Access to Grievances for Special Populations

- 1. Pursuant to Department policy **DC-ADM 006**, "Reasonable Accommodations for Inmates with Disabilities," the Department shall ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to use the grievance system.
- 2. Written materials will either be delivered in alternative formats that accommodate the inmate's disability or the information will be delivered through alternative methods, such as reading it to the inmate or communicating through an interpreter, which ensure the understanding of the grievance process and material.
- The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will ensure that only staff members, not involved in the grievance, are providing translation for inmates. If a multi-lingual staff member is not available, then a current contracted translation service must be utilized.
- 4. Timeline extensions shall be granted in order to secure these services.

DC-804 Part 1

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA **DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

FOR OFFICIAL USE
CDIEVANCE NI IMPED

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

w, i ith it districts a property of the contract of the contra				
TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR	R FACILITY:		DATE:	
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)	SIGNATUR	E OF INMATE	-	
ORK ASSIGNMENT: HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:				
INSTRUCTIONS:				
 Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures of State your grievance in Block A in a brief a 				
3. List in Block B any actions you may have t staff members you have contacted.	taken to resolve this r	matter. Be sur		
A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grapages (one DC-804 form and one one-side	ievance, Additional p	aper may be u	sed, maximum two that you are seeking	
pages (one Do out form and one one-sid	Ja Ja X III page).	Juliu un IUNUI	y sa are cooking.	
			•	
	-			
B. List actions taken and staff you have conf	tacted, before submit	ting this grieva	ance.	
		-	,	
Your grievance has been received and will be	oe processed in acco	rdance with D	C-ADM 804.	
Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator			Date	
WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy	CANARY File Copy	PINK Action	Return Copy	

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 1 – Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 1/26/2016

Attachment 1-A

Effective: 2/16/2016

GRIEVANCE WITHDRAWAL

(Facility) (Address)

This serves to acknowledge receipt of recent communication indicating your desire to withdraw the grievance noted below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System," the Facility Grievance Coordinator will withdraw this grievance in response to your request.

inmate Name:	Jomate Number:		
Facility:		Date	
Grievance:			
Onevance:			\neg
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mishannal		
Action:	Withdrawal		
		•	
·			,
	en e		(5) A(1)
tomataio Cimatura		Grievance Officer's	
Inmate's Signature:		Signature:	
Grievance Officer's			
Title:	<u> </u>		
Date:			
17, 4 5, Fe 7			
Counselor's Name:	<u> </u>	Date:	
Withdraw Verified:	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Comments:	L.I IVU	•	
Comments:			
,			

Facility Grievance Coordinator CC:

Grievance Officer

DC-15 File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review Issued: 1/26/2016

Attachment 1-B

Effective: 2/16/2016

Section 2 – Appeals

A. Appeal to Facility Manager

- 1. Inmate Responsibilities
 - a. An inmate may appeal an initial review response/rejection to the Facility Manager in writing, within 15 working days from the date of the initial review response/rejection.
 - b. The initial review response/rejection from the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee must be received by the inmate before any appeal to the Facility Manager can be sought.
 - c. Only an issue that was raised for initial review, determination of frivolousness, and/or rejection may be appealed. An issue raised for Initial review and determination of frivolousness must be raised for appeal at the same time.
 - d. Each appeal must:
 - (1) be clearly labeled as an appeal at the top of the document;
 - (2) include the grievance number at the top of the document;
 - (3) be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner;
 - (4) not exceed two pages (two one-sided or one double-sided 8 ½" x 11" page);
 - (5) contain reason(s) for appealing the initial review response/rejection;
 - (6) be handwritten or typed on writing paper or submitted on the Inmate Appeal to the Facility Manager Form (Attachment 2-A);
 - (7) if the inmate is appealing a determination of frivolousness, he/she must clearly indicate that he/she is appealing that determination; and
 - (8) only one appeal of any initial review response/rejection is permitted.
 - e. Failure to comply may result in the appeal being dismissed.
 - f. Any document(s) attached in support of a grievance appeal, including the appeal itself, becomes part of the official record and will not be returned. The inmate should make copies of the appeal, and supporting documents, including any facility documents, prior to submission to the Facility Manager for any subsequent appeals.

2. Staff Responsibilities

- a. The appeal must be addressed by the Facility Manager/designee. The Grievance Officer, and/or a staff member involved in or named as the subject of the grievance may not be designated to address the appeal.
- b. The Facility Manager/designee will determine whether the appeal is in accordance with this procedures manual. If the appeal is determined to be in accordance with these procedures, the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will enter the date the appeal was received into the Automated Inmate Grievance Tracking System.
- c. A time extension for filing an appeal will be considered on a case by case basis. The inmate must notify the Facility Manager of the reason for the delay. The Facility Manager/designee will consider the reason given and also consider if the delay was caused by:
 - (1) a temporary transfer from the facility where the grievance should have been filed:
 - (2) a permanent transfer to another facility from the facility where the grievance should have been filed;
 - (3) Authorized Temporary Absence (ATA) for an extended period;
 - (4) another delay with mail delivery; and
 - (5) any other reason the Facility Manager/designee deems appropriate.

NOTE: If it is determined that a delay was caused by a circumstance listed above, a reasonable extension of time for filing shall be permitted.

- d. The Facility Manager/designee shall:
 - (1) notify the inmate using the Facility Manager's Appeal Response (Attachment 2-B) of his/her decision within 15 working days of receiving the appeal;
 - (2) one of the following dispositions must appear on the appeal response: Uphold Response, Uphold Inmate, Dismiss/Dismiss Untimely or Uphold in Part/Deny in Part;
 - (3) a brief statement of the reason(s) for the decision must be included. All appeal points raised by the inmate shall be addressed including the determination of frivolousness of appealed;
 - (4) the Facility Manager/designee may authorize an extension of up to ten additional working days if the investigation of the appeal is ongoing. If an extension is

necessary, the inmate shall be advised in writing using the Extension Form (Attachment 1-E); and

(5) alternatively, the Facility Manager/designee may remand the initial review response/rejection to the Grievance Officer for further investigation and/or reconsideration.

e. Remand

- (1) When the Facility Manager/designee remands a grievance, notification of the remanded grievance will be provided to the Grievance Officer on the Remand Grievance Notice to Grievance Officer (Attachment 2-C).
- (2) Notification of the remanded grievance will also be provided to the inmate on the Remand Grievance Notice to Inmate (Attachment 2-D).
- (3) The Grievance Officer shall respond to the inmate within 15 working days.
- (4) The revised response shall be returned to the Facility Manager/designee for review prior to sending it to the inmate.
- (5) The inmate may again appeal to the Facility Manager within 15 working days from the date of the revised initial review response.
- (6) The determination to remand the initial review response/rejection may not be appealed or made the subject of a new grievance.
- f. The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee shall enter the date and a summary of the Facility Manager/designee's decision into the Automated Inmate Grievance Tracking System.
- g. Personal property related to a grievance shall not be disposed of if the inmate notifies the Facility Manager/designee or Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee to retain the property as required in Section 1 of this procedures manual. If notification is given, the property related to the grievance shall be placed in a safe location until final disposition is made by the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals (SOIGA). The inmate will have 20 working days from the date the final SOIGA decision is received to notify the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee in writing with his/her signature that he/she intends to file a court action relating to the confiscated property. The subject property will be labeled to indicate that it is being held pending possible further legal action and will note the expiration date of the 20 working days. If no notice in writing signed by the inmate is given to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee by the end of the 20 working days, the inmate will be told to decide whether the property is to be shipped or destroyed. When the final court proceeding is concluded, the inmate shall, within 20 working days, notify the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee in writing that the lawsuit is concluded and whether the property is to be shipped or destroyed. Any refusal or failure to select an option

will be documented by the Property Officer and will result in the property being destroyed. If the option to have the property shipped is chosen, the property will be shipped at the inmate's expense. An inmate's failure to communicate the final court disposition to the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee within 20 working days may result in the property being destroyed with no notice to the inmate.

B. Appeal to Final Review

- 1. Inmate Responsibilities
 - a. The decision from the appeal to the Facility Manager/designee must be received by the inmate before an appeal to Final Review can be sought.
 - b. Any inmate who is dissatisfied with the disposition of an appeal from the Facility Manager/designee may submit an Inmate Appeal to Final Review (Attachment 2-E) within 15 working days from the date of the Facility Manager/designee's decision. Only issues raised in the initial grievance and/or appealed to the Facility Manager may be appealed to Final Review.
 - c. A time extension for filing a grievance appeal to final review will be considered on a case by case basis. The inmate must notify the Chief Grievance Officer of the reason for the delay. The Chief Grievance Officer/designee will consider the reason given and also consider if the delay was caused by:
 - (1) a temporary transfer from the facility where the grievance should have been filed:
 - (2) a permanent transfer to another facility from the facility where the grievance should have been filed;
 - (3) ATA for an extended period;
 - (4) another delay with mail delivery; or
 - (5) any other reason the Chief Grievance Officer/designee deems appropriate.

NOTE: If it is determined that a delay was caused by a circumstance listed above, a reasonable extension of time for filing shall be permitted.

- d. An appeal to Final Review will not be permitted until the inmate has complied with all procedures established for Initial Review in accordance with Section 1 of this procedures manual and for Appeal to the Facility Manager in accordance with Subsection A. above.
- e. Each appeal must:
 - (1) be clearly labeled as an appeal at the top of the document;

- (2) include the grievance number at the top of the document;
- (3) be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner;
- (4) not exceed two pages (two one-sided or one double-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page);
- (5) contain reason(s) for appealing the Facility Manager/designee's decision;
- (6) be handwritten or typed on writing paper or submitted on the **Inmate Appeal to**Final Review:
- (7) if the inmate is appealing a determination of frivolousness, he/she must clearly indicate that he/she is appealing that determination;
- (8) only one grievance may be appealed on a piece of paper unless combined by the Facility Manager/designee; and
- (9) only one appeal of any Facility Manager/designee's response is permitted.
- f. Failure to comply may result in the appeal being dismissed.
- g. An inmate filing an appeal related to a claim of missing property must provide documentation such as a DC-153A, Personal Property Inventory Sheet; DC-154A, Confiscated Items Receipt; or a Commissary/Outside Purchase Form for evidence or proof that property items were once in his/her possession. Failure to do so may result in dismissal of the appeal.
- h. An inmate filing a grievance contesting the accuracy of the Notification of Deductions Memo and/or the Notification of Amended Deductions Memo in accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 005, "Collection of Inmate Debts," must provide valid, official court documentation proving that:
 - (1) the financial obligations have previously been paid;
 - (2) the information submitted on the official court documents by the Clerk of Courts was incorrect;
 - (3) for a sentencing occurring prior to December 26, 2010, the sentencing judge did not impose court costs;
 - (4) for a sentencing occurring on or after December 26, 2010, the sentencing judge specifically waived court costs;
 - (5) in the case of an obligation for restitution, reparation, fines or penalties, the sentencing court did not specifically order you to pay such obligation regardless of the date of sentence;

- (6) a more recent court order removed or postponed the financial obligations;
- (7) the financial obligations are not currently due under the terms of the court order;
- (8) the Crime Victim Compensation/Victim's Services Fee was not computed in accordance with law;
- (9) the court costs are related to an adjudication of delinquency, and you have attained the age of 21. If you are not yet 21, and are paying these fees, you should notify the business office on your 21st birthday; or
- (10) you do not owe the financial obligations for any other reason.

NOTE: Failure to provide any of the above with your grievance may result in your appeal being dismissed.

i. Every appeal to Final Review must be addressed to the following:

Chief, Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals Department of Corrections 1920 Technology Parkway Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Failure to properly address the appeal will delay the process.

- j. An inmate appealing a grievance to final review is responsible for providing the SOIGA with all required documentation relevant to the appeal. A proper appeal to final review must include:
 - (1) a legible copy of the Initial Grievance;
 - (2) a copy of the initial review response/rejection and/or remanded initial review response/rejection;
 - (3) a legible copy of the Inmate Appeal to the Facility Manager;
 - (4) a copy of the Facility Manager/designee's decision and/or remanded Facility Manager/designee's decision;
 - (5) a written appeal to the SOIGA;
 - (6) failure to provide any of the documentation noted above may result in the appeal being dismissed; and
 - (7) the copies of the initial review response/rejection and the Facility Manager/designee's decision cannot be handwritten.

- k. An indigent inmate as defined in Department policy **DC-ADM 803**, "Inmate Mail and Incoming Publications," will be afforded copy service and legal postage up to a maximum of \$10.00 per month and all money received in the inmate's account shall be used to pay for the cost of the copies and legal postage. A non-indigent inmate will incur copying charges in accordance with Department policy **3.1.1**, "Fiscal Administration."
- Any documentation submitted in support of the appeal to final review will become part
 of the official record and will not be returned. The inmate should make copies of
 supporting documents, including facility documents, prior to submission to final
 review.

2. Staff Responsibilities

- a. The SOIGA will ensure that:
 - (1) an appeal to final review is responded to within 30 working days of receipt unless otherwise extended *and/or referred*;
 - (2) an appeal and response are properly maintained in the Automated Inmate Grievance Tracking System; and
 - (3) the Chief of SOIGA may authorize an extension of up to ten additional working days if the investigation of the appeal is ongoing. If an extension is necessary, the inmate shall be advised in writing using the Extension Form (Attachment 1-E).
- b. Upon request, the Facility Manager/designee will forward to the SOIGA a copy of any formal investigation related to a grievance.
- c. The SOIGA will review the **DC-804**, **Part 1**, the initial review response/rejection, the **Inmate Appeal to the Facility Manager**, the Facility Manager/designee's response, any investigative report(s), any attached exhibits and the **Appeal to Final Review**.
- d. Upon completion of the review, SOIGA will respond directly to the inmate in all cases using the Final Appeal Decision (Attachment 2-F) or the Final Appeal Decision Dismissal (Attachment 2-G). If the inmate is released/paroled, he/she must provide a forwarding address to SOIGA. SOIGA will forward the final review response to the address provided or, if no address is provided, to the last address of record for the inmate.
- e. SOIGA will issue a decision with one of the following dispositions: Uphold Response, Uphold Inmate, Dismiss, or Uphold in Part/Deny in Part.
 - (1) The Chief/designee, SOIGA, shall notify the inmate and the Facility Manager of the decision and rationale.

- (2) If the decision consists of amending or remanding the grievance *response*, or if the decision is to uphold the inmate or uphold the inmate in part, the appropriate *Regional* Deputy Secretary shall also be notified.
- (3) In any instance where a determination of frivolousness is overturned, the Facility Grievance Coordinator shall also be notified.
- (4) Alternatively, the Chief Grievance Officer/designee may remand the grievance to the Facility Manager for further investigation/reconsideration and/or may review/refer an appeal with/to a different bureau.

f. Referrals

- (1) When an appeal is referred to a bureau for review (health care issues with the Bureau of Health Care Services (BHCS), education issues with the Bureau of Correction Education (BCE), etc.) notification of the referral will be provided to the appropriate bureau on the Grievance Referral (Request to Bureau/Office) (Attachment 2-H).
- (2) Notification of the referred grievance will be provided to the inmate on the Grievance Referral (Notice to Inmate) (Attachment 2-I).
- (3) This review/referral may result in an extension to the time for issuing a final review response to the inmate.
- (4) Once a response from the relevant bureau is received by the SOIGA, a final review response will be issued to the inmate.

g. Remand

- (1) When an appeal is remanded to the facility for further review notification of the remanded grievance will be provided to the Facility Manager/designee on the Remand Grievance (Notice to Facility Manager) (Attachment 2-J).
- (2) Notification of the remanded grievance will also be provided to the inmate on the Remand Grievance (Notice to Inmate) (Attachment 2-K).
- (3) Once the investigation is completed, the Facility Manager/designee will provide a revised response to the inmate, with a copy to the Chief of SOIGA, within 15 working days.
- (4) If the inmate is dissatisfied with the revised response, he/she may appeal to final review again within 15 working days of the date of the revised response.
- (5) Once an appeal to a remanded grievance is received at final review, the SOIGA will have 30 working days in which to complete a response.

- (6) The determination to remand the grievance may not be made the subject of a new grievance.
- h. The Chief, SOIGA, in consultation with the Secretary, shall take any action deemed necessary to ensure the integrity of this policy. This includes, but is not limited to:
 - prohibiting the transfer of an inmate until the grievance procedure has been completed, including the appeal process; and
 - (2) lifting a previously imposed grievance restriction.
- The Chief, SOIGA/designee shall notify the Facility Manager in those cases where the suspension of an inmate's transfer is being considered pending the disposition of the appeal process.
- j. If an inmate who has filed a grievance is transferred, paroled, or released prior to the appeal process being completed, the inmate may continue to pursue the grievance or appeal by notifying the Facility Manager of the facility where the grievance was originally filed. Adjustments shall be made to the various time limitations in order to allow for review.

C. Appeal of Publication or Photograph Denial

- 1. As set forth in Department policy DC-ADM 803, an inmate may appeal the denial of a publication/photograph by filing a grievance under the provisions of this procedures manual.
- 2. In order to appeal the Incoming Publication Review Committee's (IPRC) denial of a publication/photograph, the inmate must:
 - a. address his/her publication/photograph appeal to the Facility Manager on a DC-804, Part 1 within 15 working days from the date of the Notice of Incoming Publication Denial; and
 - b. include a copy of the Notice of Incoming Publication Denial Form in accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 803, disapproving the publication/photograph.
- 3. Publication/Photograph Appeal at the Initial Level/Staff Responsibilities
 - a. When an inmate files an appeal to the denial of a publication/photograph, the Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee shall assign a grievance tracking number to the publication/photograph appeal.
 - b. The Facility Manager/designee shall:

- (1) review the publication/photograph appeal in addition to the Notice of Incoming Publication Denial Form and the publication/photograph and complete a response within 15 working days of receiving the appeal; and
- (2) include the grievance tracking number and the name of the publication/photograph (or other description) in his/her response to the inmate.

4. Publication/Photograph Appeal to SOIGA

- a. An inmate appealing a publication/photograph disapproval to Final Review is responsible for providing SOIGA with all required documentation relevant to the appeal. A proper publication/photograph appeal to Final Review must include a copy of the Notice of Incoming Publication Denial Form, appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager/designee's decision, and a written appeal to Final Review.
- b. Failure to provide the proper documentation may result in the final appeal being dismissed.
- c. SOIGA will refer the grievance appeal challenging the denial of a publication for content reasons to the Office of Policy, Grants, and Legislative Affairs for review.

D. State Intermediate Punishment (SIP) Appeals

- 1. A SIP participant may appeal an expulsion from the SIP program by filing a grievance to the Facility Grievance Coordinator of the facility housing the inmate within ten days of the date of the expulsion letter. The Facility Grievance Coordinator/designee will assign the grievance a number and email it to "CR, SIP Appeals/Grievance" for review and response in accordance with 37 Pa. Code §97.116.
- 2. The grievance must be legible and the statement of facts may not exceed two pages.
- 3. A participant is responsible for including all required documentation with the grievance. Failure to provide relevant documentation may result in the grievance being dismissed.
- 4. A participant who is indigent as defined in Department policy DC-ADM 803, will be afforded copy service and legal postage up to a maximum of \$10.00 per month and all money received in the inmate's account shall be used to pay for the cost of the copies and legal postage. A non-indigent inmate will incur copying charges in accordance with Department policy 3.1.1.
- Any documentation submitted in support of a grievance will not be returned. The participant should make a copy of any supporting documentation for submission with the grievance.

- 6. The Executive Deputy Secretary/designee may decide the grievance based upon the documentation presented as well as other information contained within the Department's files and may interview the inmate and any involved staff member or contractor employee by means of videoconferencing if the Executive Deputy Secretary/designee in his/her sole discretion, believes an interview will assist him/her in understanding and evaluating the grievance.
- 7. In reviewing a grievance, the Executive Deputy Secretary/designee shall determine whether the participant violated the conditions of his/her Drug Offender Treatment Program (DOTP) or was meaningfully participating in the DOTP. The Executive Deputy Secretary/designee may uphold or reverse the expulsion or take any other action that could have been taken by the Chief of the Department's Bureau of Treatment Services (BTS), Treatment Division, with respect to the alleged conduct at issue.

E. Grievance Reports

- SOIGA provides a detailed monthly report to Central Office's administrative staff and Facility Managers indicating grievances filed, related trends, and any noted concerns. This report compares the previous year's grievance information to the current year's grievance information.
- 2. Central Office's administrative staff review any noted concerns or elevated numbers with the Facility Managers.
- 3. The Chief Grievance Officer meets with the Secretary, as necessary, to discuss any noted concerns or elevated numbers.

SCI INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER GRIEVANCE				
Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
nd have the follo Refer to	owing appeal is o DC-ADM 804,	om the Grievance Office, ssues. Grievance Appeal Proce BRIEF (no longer than t	edures, for comp	lete instructions.
	100-100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 / 100 /			
1946-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-W-1944-		•		
			•	
•				
	I			
•		INMATE SIGNATU	RF·	

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW GRIEVANCE				
INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
received my app ppeal issues.	eal from the Su	perintendent on	ļ ai	nd have the following
Refer to	DG-ADM 804, G	Frievance Appeal Procises in the instance of t	cedures, for comp	olete instructions. Land 1 st level appeal
engergenere FI	ease provide a ⊏	RIEF (no longer than	two pages) appea	ai Statement.
-			MANUAL PARTIES AND	
				,
	,			
	•	,		
warmen by the Maddle of the State of the Sta				
······································				
				·
				mark description (CVIII) (CVIII) (CVIII)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				•

Section 3 – Grievance Restriction or Grievance Restriction Extension

A. Grievance Restriction

- 1. An inmate who has filed five grievances within a 30-day period which have been determined to be frivolous may be placed on grievance restriction.
 - a. The maximum length of the grievance restriction period is 90 days.
 - b. An inmate placed on grievance restriction shall be notified via the **Grievance** Restriction Notice (Attachment 3-A).
 - c. Once an inmate is placed on grievance restriction, he/she may file no more than one grievance each 15 working days.
 - d. The grievance restriction period begins on the date of the written notice to the inmate of the grievance restriction.
 - e. The 15 working day period during which one grievance may be filed begins on the 1st working day immediately following the date of the written notice to the inmate of the grievance restriction.
- 2. The frivolous grievances used to place an inmate on grievance restriction must be within a 30-day period from when the inmate initially filed the frivolous grievances (date of the first frivolous grievance received).
- 3. A grievance that was withdrawn after an initial review response has been distributed to the inmate shall be considered for grievance restriction if deemed frivolous by the Grievance Officer.
- 4. The Facility Grievance Coordinator shall provide the inmate with written notice of the grievance restriction on the **Grievance Restriction Notice** and the reason(s) for it.
 - NOTE: A copy of the **Grievance Restriction Notice** shall be forwarded to the Regional Deputy Secretary, the Facility Manager and the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals (SOIGA).
- 5. It is the inmate's responsibility to determine which issues to grieve while on grievance restriction.
- 6. An inmate will not be denied a **DC-804**, **Part 1** due to being placed on grievance restriction.
- 7. An inmate may appeal a grievance restriction and/or a grievance restriction extension in accordance with **Subsections B. & C. below**.

- 8. If the Facility Manager/designee determines during the grievance restriction review that the inmate has not submitted five frivolous grievances, the inmate may be removed from grievance restriction. The Regional Deputy Secretary and the SOIGA shall be notified that the restriction was lifted.
- A prior grievance that was rejected cannot be used towards the number of five frivolous grievances when placing an inmate on grievance restriction. A grievance must be found frivolous in order to be used toward placement on grievance restriction.
- 10. If an inmate files one or more frivolous grievances while on grievance restriction, the Facility Manager may request an additional 30-day period of restriction through the Regional Deputy Secretary. A copy of the request shall be forwarded to the SOIGA for tracking purposes.
 - NOTE: An inmate placed on grievance restriction extension shall be notified via the **Grievance Restriction Extension Notice (Attachment 3-B)** and he/she may file no more than one grievance each 15 working days.
- 11. A grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension issued at one facility will be continued if the inmate is transferred to another facility.
- 12. A grievance restriction/extension may be suspended if an inmate is sent on an Authorized Temporary Absence (ATA)/Temporary Transfer (TT) for an extended period of time.

B. Appeal to Facility Manager

- 1. Inmate Responsibilities
 - a. An inmate may appeal a grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension, to the Facility Manager in writing, within 15 working days from the date of the notice of a grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension.
 - b. The Grievance Restriction Notice or Grievance Restriction Extension Notice must be received by the inmate before any appeal to the Facility Manager can be sought.
 - c. Only the placement on grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension can be appealed.
 - d. Each appeal must be clearly labeled as an appeal at the top of the document. The text of an appeal must be legible, understandable and presented in a courteous manner. The statement of facts must not exceed two pages, must contain reason(s) for the appeal and must be handwritten or typed on writing paper (two one-sided or one double-sided 8 ½" x 11" page), or may be submitted on the Appeal to the Facility Manager Form (refer to Section 2 of this procedures manual).

2. Staff Responsibilities

- a. The appeal must be addressed by the Facility Manager/designee.
- b. A time extension for filing a grievance will be considered on a case-by-case basis. The inmate must notify the Facility Grievance Coordinator of the delay. The Facility Grievance Coordinator will consider the reason given and also consider if the delay was caused by:
 - (1) a Temporary Transfer (TT) from the facility where the grievance should have been filed;
 - (2) a permanent transfer to another facility from the facility where the grievance should have been filed;
 - (3) ATA for an extended period;
 - (4) another delay with mail delivery; or
 - (5) any other reason the Facility Grievance Coordinator deems appropriate.
 - NOTE: If it is determined that a delay was caused by a circumstance listed above, a reasonable extension of time for filing shall be permitted.
- c. The Facility Manager/designee shall notify the inmate using the Facility Manager's Appeal Response (refer to Section 2 of this procedures manual) within 15 working days of receiving the appeal.
 - (1) A brief statement of the reason(s) for the decision must be included.
 - (2) Alternatively, the Facility Manager/designee may overturn the grievance restriction placement.

C. Appeal to Final Review

- 1. Inmate Responsibilities
 - a. The decision from the appeal to the Facility Manager must be received by the inmate before an appeal to Final Review can be sought.
 - b. Any inmate who is dissatisfied with the disposition of an appeal from the Facility Manager may submit an Inmate Appeal to Final Review Form (refer to Section 2 of this procedures manual) within 15 working days from the date of the Facility Manager/designee's decision). Only issues related to the placement of grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension may be appealed to Final Review.

- c. A time extension for filing a grievance will be considered on a case-by-case basis. The inmate must notify the Facility Grievance Coordinator of the reason for the delay. The Facility Grievance Coordinator will consider the reason given and also consider if the delay was caused by:
 - (1) a temporary transfer from the facility where the grievance should have been filed:
 - (2) a permanent transfer to another facility from the facility where the grievance should have been filed;
 - (3) ATA for an extended period; or
 - (4) another delay with mail delivery.

NOTE: If it is determined that a delay was caused by a circumstance listed above, a reasonable extension of time for filing shall be permitted.

- d. An appeal to Final Review will not be permitted until the inmate has complied with all procedures established for grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension in accordance with **Subsections A. and B. above**.
- e. The text of an appeal to the SOIGA must be legible, understandable and presented in a courteous manner. The statement of facts must not exceed two pages, and must be handwritten or typed on writing paper (two one-sided or one double-sided 8 ½" x 11" page), or may be submitted on the Inmate Appeal to Final Review Form (refer to Section 2 of this procedures manual).
- f. The appeal must contain a reason for appealing the Facility Manager/designee's decision.
- g. Every appeal to Final Review must be addressed to the following:

Chief, Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals Department of Corrections 1920 Technology Parkway Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

NOTE: Failure to properly address the appeal will delay the process.

- h. An inmate appealing a grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension to Final Review is responsible for providing the SOIGA with all required documentation relevant to the appeal. This appeal paperwork must include:
 - a copy of the written notice of placement on grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension from the Facility Grievance Coordinator;

- (2) the appeal of the grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension placement to the Facility Manager;
- (3) the Facility Manager/designee's Response; and
- (4) a written appeal to the SOIGA.

NOTE: The copies of the grievance restriction notice or grievance restriction extension and the Facility Manager/designee's Response cannot be handwritten. Failure to provide any of the documentation noted above may result in the appeal being dismissed.

- i. An indigent inmate as defined in Department policy DC-ADM 803, "Inmate Mail and Incoming Publications," will be afforded copy service and legal postage up to a maximum of \$10.00 per month and all money received in the inmate's account shall be used to pay for the cost of the copies and legal postage. A non-indigent inmate will incur copying charges in accordance with Department policy 3.1.1, "Fiscal Administration."
- j. Any documentation submitted in support of a grievance restriction or grievance restriction extension appeal will become part of the official record and will not be returned. The inmate should make copies of supporting documents, including facility documents, prior to submission to Final Review.

2. Staff Responsibilities

- a. The SOIGA will ensure that:
 - (1) an appeal to Final Review is responded to within 30 working days of receipt unless otherwise extended;
 - (2) the Chief of SOIGA may authorize an extension of up to 10 additional working days if the investigation of the appeal is ongoing. If an extension is necessary, the inmate shall be advised in writing. This may be done using the **Extension Form** (refer to **Section 1** of this procedures manual).
- b. SOIGA will review the Grievance Restriction Notice or Grievance Restriction Extension Notice, the Appeal to Facility Manager, Facility Manager/Designee's Response and the Appeal to Final Review.
- c. Upon completion of the review, SOIGA will respond directly to the inmate in all cases using the Final Appeal Decision or Final Appeal Decision Dismiss (refer to Section 2 of this procedures manual). If the inmate is released/paroled, he/she must provide a forwarding address to SOIGA. SOIGA will forward the Final Review response to the address provided or, if no address is provided, to the last address of record for the inmate.

3-5

- d. SOIGA will issue a decision with one of the following dispositions: Uphold Response, Uphold Inmate, Dismiss, or Uphold in Part/Deny in Part. The Chief/designee, SOIGA, shall notify the inmate and the Facility Manager of the decision and rationale.
- e. The Chief, SOIGA, in consultation with the Secretary, shall take any action deemed necessary to ensure the integrity of this policy. This includes, but is not limited to:
 - (1) prohibiting the transfer of an inmate until the grievance procedure has been completed, including the appeal process; and
 - (2) lifting a previously imposed grievance restriction.
- f. The Chief/designee, SOIGA/designee shall notify the Facility Manager in those cases where the suspension of an inmate's transfer is being considered pending the disposition of the appeal process.
- g. If an inmate who has filed a grievance is transferred prior to the appeal process being completed, the inmate may continue to pursue the grievance or appeal by notifying the Facility Manager of the facility where the grievance was originally filed. Adjustments shall be made to the various time limitations in order to allow for review.

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Glossary of Terms

Automated Inmate Grievance Tracking System – A computerized system maintained by the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals designed to store and retrieve data and trends pertaining to the Inmate Grievance System.

Appeal to Facility Manager – The second step of the formal Initial Grievance process during which the Facility Manager/designee reviews the decision of the Grievance Officer.

Chief, Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals – A management level employee assigned to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievance and Appeals by the Secretary to oversee the inmate grievance and appeal process, train field staff, and respond to appeals.

Courteous Manner – The grievance and/or appeal should be written without the use of abusive language, profanities, name calling, etc.

Department – The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections.

Facility Grievance Coordinator – The Corrections Superintendents Assistant (CSA)/designee in a facility, or a Community Corrections Regional Director/designee, who is responsible for the overall administration of the Inmate Grievance System in that facility/region. This includes determining whether the grievance was filed in compliance with the policy, as well as the data collection, tracking, and statistical reporting.

Facility Manager – The Superintendent of a State Correctional Facility, State Regional Correctional Facility, or Motivational Boot Camp, Director of a Community Corrections Center or the Director of the Training Academy.

Final Review – The third step of the formal Initial Grievance process during which the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals reviews the decision of the Facility Manager/designee.

Frivolous Grievance – A grievance is frivolous when it is found that the allegations or the relief sought lack any arguable basis in law, fact and/or policy.

Grievance – A formal written complaint by an inmate related to a problem encountered during the course of his/her confinement.

Grievance Rejection Form – The form used to return a grievance to an inmate when the grievance is not in compliance with this procedures manual.

Grievance Officer – An appropriate Department Head or management level staff person designated by the Facility Grievance Coordinator to provide initial review of an inmate grievance arising from his/her specific area of responsibility (a Unit Manager would be assigned to provide initial review of a grievance regarding a housing unit).

Grievance Restriction – A limitation on the number and frequency of grievances an inmate may file.

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Glossary of Terms

Initial Review – The first step of the Initial Grievance process during which a Grievance Officer reviews an inmate grievance.

Retaliation – An act of vengeance or threat of action against an inmate or staff in response to an inmate complaint of a problem. Examples include, but are not limited to, unnecessary discipline, intimidation, unnecessary changes in work or program assignments, unjustified transfers or placements, unjustified denials of privileges and services.

Secretary – The Secretary of the Department of Corrections.

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals (SOIGA) – The office responsible for review and disposition of all appeals to Final Review arising under this policy.

Working Days – For the purposes of this policy, working days are Monday through Friday, excluding state holidays.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID PIERGROSSI,

Plaintiff,

v.

: Civil Action No. 17-01575

Magistrate Judge Maureen P. Kelly

DR. PAUL NOEL and

DR. MICHAEL HERBIK,

Filed via Electronic Case Filing

Defendants.

UNSWORN DECLARATION OF RHONDA HOUSE

I, Rhonda House, hereby declare under penalty of perjury in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the following facts are true and correct based upon my personal knowledge:

- 1. I am currently employed as the Corrections Superintendent's Assistant at the Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution (SCI) at Fayette.
- 2. The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections (DOC) has an Inmate Grievance System that provides an administrative procedure through which inmates can seek resolution of issues, specifically Grievance Policy DC-ADM 804. See Exhibit A (DC-ADM 804).
- 3. If attempts at informal resolution fail, the Grievance Policy provides a three-step process for resolution of inmate grievances: (1) the initial grievance at the institutional level; (2) an appeal to the Facility Manager (Superintendent); and

- (3) an appeal for final review to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances (SOIGA). See Exhibit A.
- 4. Pursuant to the Grievance Policy, inmates first file grievances with the institution's grievance coordinator where the issue arose. If a grievance is rejected, it may be resubmitted under the same grievance number. If an inmate is unsatisfied with the initial review of his grievance, he is permitted to file an appeal of the decision with the institution's Superintendent. Upon receiving a decision from the Superintendent, inmates are permitted a second appeal to final review through the SOIGA. See Exhibit A.
- 5. DC-ADM 804 was implemented as a policy that articulated a formal procedure, a "forum for review," and an avenue of appeal. Further, DC-ADM 804 was instituted to ensure that inmates had an avenue to resolve "specific problems," namely an initial review and then two tiers of appellate review. These steps in the grievance review impose different levels of responsibilities on inmates during each stage of the process. The inmate must file complaints and appeals in the appropriate place and time, as required by the administrative rules. *See Exhibit A*.
- 6. The DOC's Inmate Handbook provides inmates with notice of the Grievance Policy, and the requirements they must meet when grieving their issues through this policy.

- 7. It is my responsibility to review and track all grievances filed at SCI-Fayette and refer all properly submitted grievances to an appropriate grievance officer for response.
- 8. In the course of my duties, I am a records custodian for all grievances submitted.
- 9. I understand that inmate David Piergrossi has filed a lawsuit regarding his medical care during the course of his incarceration at SCI-Fayette.
- 10. At the request of Counsel for the DOC Defendant, I reviewed the grievance information and records for those grievances filed by Piergrossi, since he re-entered the DOC in May of 2015 through the date of the filing of the instant complaint on December 1, 2017. I determined that Piergrossi filed 2 grievances during this time period regarding his medical care. *See Exhibit C [Grievance Chart]*.
- 11. Piergrossi did not file any grievances regarding his medical care in the years 2015 or 2016. He filed one grievance in 2016 pertaining to an issue regarding the library. Two other grievances were filed in 2017, concerning a misconduct and prison conditions. *See Exhibit C*.
- 12. Grievance no. 665565 was received on February 22, 2017. On the same date, I rejected this grievance because it was not submitted within 15

working days after the events giving rise to the claims alleged. See Exhibit D

(Grievance no. 665565).

13. Piergrossi appealed the rejection of Grievance no. 665565 to the

Superintendent. Upon review, the appeal was dismissed. See Exhibit D.

14. Piergrossi then appealed to SOIGA. It was determined that the

grievance was properly rejected at the facility level. The denial was upheld and it

was noted that he filed Grievance no. 672757 regarding the same issues. See

Exhibit D.

15. Grievance no. 672757 was received on April 12, 2017. SCI-Fayette

Corrections Health Care Administrator ("CHCA"), Nedra Rice, was assigned to

provide the initial review response to this grievance, which she provided on April

24, 2017, denying the grievance. See Exhibit E [Grievance no. 672757].

16. Piergrossi appealed the denial of this grievance to the Superintendent.

The initial response denying his request was upheld. See Exhibit E.

17. Piergrossi failed to file a final appeal for Grievance no. 672757 to

SOIGA. See Exhibit C.

Date: /-30_/

Rhonda House

Corrections Superintendent's Assistant

SCI-Fayette

				₩.ir'		35 35 37 37 37 37		,		
				# REIDE # 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1						
						Yes				
				Hawai			Yes	Yes		
	E	Ō						4/7/2017 Yes	9/1/2016 10/24/2016	
3ROSSI	Institution:	Building:		THE STATES	5/9/2017		-	3/6/2017	9/1/2016	
DAVID PIERGROSSI	Ins	Bui		CATAMAN EDI	4/26/2017	4/13/2017			8/25/2016	
DA					enied	enied			enied	
ES3412		ی			4/12/2017 Grievance Denied	Grievance D			8/16/2016 Grievance Denied	
ï	nber:	:se:	.s:	1/18/2018	4/12/2017	3/10/2017	2/24/2017	2/22/2017	8/16/2016	
Inmate Number:	Grievance Number:	Total Grievances:	Ü		- Care	ions	nduct	, Care		
Inmai	Griev	Total	ailable (#	672757 Health Care	38057 Condit	666071 Misconduct	665565 Health Care	638825 Library	



FINAL APPEAL DECISION DISMISSAL Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals Pennsylvania Department of Corrections 1920 Technology Parkway Mechanicsburg, PA 17050



This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System," this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Inmate	Name: David Piergrossi	Inmate Number ES3412			
SCIFIL	ed at: Fayette	Gurrent-SGI: Fayette			
Grievai	nce#: 665565				
Decisio	on: Dismiss				
×		the facility level for the reason(s) outlined below.			
	2) Your grievance is being dismissed at th	e final appeal level for the reason(s) outlined below.			
Ration	ale:				
-	Grievances related to the following issulisted and shall not be reviewed by the	es shall be handled according to procedures specified in the policies Facility Grievance Coordinator.			
	a) DC ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination member and/or inmate-on-inmate se	on Act (PREA) – allegations of a sexual nature against a staff exual contact			
	b) DC ADM 801 Inmate Discipline/Misc				
	c) DC ADM 802 Administrative Custod	y Procedures ·			
х	are based.	ed within fifteen (15) working days after the events upon which claims			
	appropriate facility.	ed at another facility and should be directed by the inmate to the			
	The grievance was not signed and/or dated with correct commitment name, number, contained UCC references, or was not presented in proper format.				
	5. Grievance or appeal must be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner.				
ļļ	6. The grievance or appeal exceeded the two page limit. Description needs to be brief.				
<u> </u>	7. Grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy.				
	S. Grievances based upon different events must be presented separately.				
	addressed. Prior grievance #	prievance has been reviewed or is currently being reviewed and			
	10. Group grievances or grievances filed on behalf of another inmate are prohibited.				
	11. Grievance disputes previous grievances, appeal decisions or staff members who rendered those decisions.				
	12. You are currently on grievance restriction. You are limited to one grievance every 15 working days. Last grievance # was submitted on				
		quired and/or legible documentation for proper review.			
	14. An appeal to final review is not permitte	d when you fail to comply with submission procedures.			
Respoi	nse:				
grievan grievan	ce #672757 which was accepted on this sam	prove timeliness of this issue. The record reflects that you filed e issue and is currently being reviewed. Since you filed a new on is being upheld. You will be provide a response to your issue in			
Signati	1/000000	Wark.			
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer	S.C.L. FAVETTE			
Date:	5217	and the second s			
DLV ·	DC-15/Superintendent Lane	MAY 09 2017			

DC-15/Superintendent Lane

Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 2 - Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016 SUPERINTENDENT ASSISTANT II

Attachment 2-G

Facility Manager's Appeal Response

SCI Fayette 50 Overlook Dr. Labelle, Pa. 15450

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me and any other documents submitted.

Inmate Name:	David Piergrossi	Inmate Number: ES3412
Facility	SCI Fayette	Unit Location: JA 2020
Grievance #:	665565	
Publication (if ap	oplicable):	
in part/Deny in pa	art. This response will include a br	Uphold in part/Deny in part y the initial response, uphold the inmate, dismiss, or Uphold ief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action e and your appeal and relief sought.
Response:	ne issue(s) raisca in the gricvane	Frivolous
within fifteen (15) specific date in S health problems'. specify when the	working days after the events up ection A of this grievance. In the t In your appeal of your rejected o	Reason #2 indicates the grievance was not submitted on which claims are based. You also did not provide a pody of your original grievance you only state 'ongoing prievance you again use the term 'ongoing'. This does not but five (5) working days to correct your rejected grievance ejection.
Your appeal is de	enied and your grievance is dismis	ssed.
Signature:	Jay Lane	./
Title:	Facility Manage	un.
Date:	3/21/17	
	<u> </u>	
cc: DC-15		

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

File irb

INMATE AF		<u>MANAGER</u>	
	GRIEVANCE	M14	3,3,27.
NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
David Pieranossi	JA-2020	Words 1st 1017	665565
al response from the	Grievance Office/Cod	ordinator on Feb. 2	4,2017
wing appear issues.			
DC-ADM 804, Grieva	nce Appeal Procedur	es, for complete inst	ructions.
ase provide a BRIEF	(no longer than two p	pages) appeal statem	ent.
	- ' ' ' ' '		:/
16/69/10/0	The state of the s		
ATTAOHO	$\overline{\mathcal{D}}$		
OCIANCITO			
		,	**************************************
	11,449,449,449,449		
		AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AA	
			,
	·		•
	5.C.I. FAYEITE		
	MAR 0 6 2017		
	SHIDERINITEMPERATOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF		
	COLLAMI ENDENT ASSISTAN	LII.	
11	MATE SIGNATURE:	Am)	Play p
	NAME Response from the wing appeal issues. DC-ADM 804. Grieval ase provide a BRIEF Find Add ATTACHE ATTACHE	INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY GRIEVANCE NAME HOUSING UNIT TA-JOJO al response from the Grievance Office/Cooking appeal issues. DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedur ase provide a BRIEF (no longer than two) Find And Rept My Televance Appeal From the Grievance Appeal Procedur ATTACHED. S.C.I. PAYETTE MAR 06 2017	INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER GRIEVANCE NAME HOUSING UNIT DATE ROWN PROBLES TA JOJO Morch Story al response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on Ed. 2 wing appeal issues. DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete inst ase provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statem Find And Chept My Priceance ATACHED. S.C.I. PAYETTE MAR 06 2017 SUPERINTENDENT ASSISTANT IT

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

Grievance Appeal

This is an appeal to the rejection that I received for grievance #665565. The reason given for grievance rejection was that the grievance was not submitted within fifteen working days after the events upon which the claims are based. The reason for grievance rejection is a misrepresentation of the nature of the original grievance. The grievance was submitted because I suffer from an ongoing medical condition that the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections has continually refused to treat. The grievance was an attempt to seek medical treatment for the Hepatitis C that I have suffered from for years. I was first diagnosed with Hepatitis C in 1998, and since that time, my health has drastically deteriorated. In addition to my Hepatitis C, I currently suffer stomach and esophageal varices, fluid retention, gallbladder stones, and end stage cirrhosis. All of these health complications are the direct result of my Hepatitis C. I have been seen in the Hepatitis C clinic at least six times, including in November 2016, when I was told that I would receive Hepatitis C treatment within 4-6 weeks of that appointment. My health has already suffered irreparable damage due to the lack of treatment of my Hepatitis C. Every day that I do not receive treatment for my Hepatitis C, my condition continues to worsen. You are denying an administrative remedy to my grievance request and in the absence of an administrative remedy, I have the ability to seek relief in court immediately.

David Piergrossi, ES3412

S.C.J. NAYETTE

MAR 0 6 2017

SUPERINTENDENT ASSISTANT II

GRIEVANCE REJECTION

SCI Fayette 50 Overlook Drive LaBelle, PA 15450

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to this office. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System", I have reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance. Upon consideration of the grievance, it is the decision of this office to reject your grievance due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Inmate	e Name: David Piergrossi	Inmate Number:	ES 3412
Facilit	y: SCI Fayette	Unit Location:	JA 2020
- Grieva	ance# 665565		
Decisi	on: Rejection		
X		ason(s) outlined below.	
Ration	nale;		
	1. Grievances related to the following issue:		edures specified in the
	policies listed and shall not be reviewed		natura against a staff
	a) DC ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination member and/or inmate-on-inmate se		nature against a stair
	b) DC ADM 801 Inmate Discipline/Misc		
	c) DC ADM 802 Administrative Custod		
	2. The grievance was not submitted within fi	fteen (15) working days after the events	upon which claims are
X	based.	3	
	3. Grievance involves matter(s) that occurre	ed at another facility and should be direc	cted by the inmate to the
	appropriate facility.		
	4. The grievance was not signed and/or dat		ber, contained UCC
	references, or was not presented in prop	er format.	
	5. Grievance must be legible, understandat	ole, and presented in a courteous manne	er.
	6. The grievance exceeded the two page lin		
	7. Grievance does not indicate that you wer		or facility action or policy.
ļ	8. Grievances based upon different events	must be presented separately.	ntly being reviewed and
	9. The issue(s) presented on the attached of addressed. Prior grievance #	inevalice has been reviewed or is curre	illiy being reviewed and
	10.Group grievances or grievances filed on	hehalf of another inmate are prohibited	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	11.Grievance disputes previous grievances,	appeal decisions or staff members who	rendered those decisions.
 	12. You are currently on grievance restriction	You are limited to one grievance eve	rv 15 working davs. Last
	grievance # was submitted		
	13. You have not provided this Office with re	quired documentation for proper review	such as a DC-153A, Personal
	Property Inventory Sheet, Confiscated Ite	ems Receipt, Commissary/Outside Purc	chase Form and/or documents
	outlined on the DC ADM 005 Notification	of Deductions Memo and/or the Notific	ation of Amended Deductions
	Memo.		
1 .	14. The publication appeal did not include	le a copy of the Notice of Incoming P	ublication Denial Form.
	(Attachment 3-B of DC-ADM 803)		
Respo	UIISC		
1	,	•	
Signa	ature: Rhonda A. House		
Title:		0	
Date:			
CC:	Facility Grievance Coordinator		

cc: Facility Grievance Coordinator DC-15

File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 1 – Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016 DC-804 Part 1

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVA	NCE	
------------------------	-----	--

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE					
TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR	FACILITY:	DATE:			
Khonda House	SOI Fayette	2-20-2017			
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)	SIGNATURE OF INMATE	200			
Duid Piergrossi ES-2412	de.	up Topic			
WORK ASSIGNMENT:	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT				
GLP BLOTIONS		-2020			
INSTRUCTIONS:		-			
 Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the in State your grievance in Block A in a brief and unde 	mate grievance system.				
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to re		to include the identity of			
staff members you have contacted.	solve this matter. De sure	to include the identity of			
A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance.	Additional paper may be us	sed maximum two			
pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 81/2" x	: 11" page). State all relief t	hat you are seeking.			
I am filing this Grievance	because the o	entinued.			
refusal of Doc to treat v	My hometite	1 is possible			
Ne ondoing health problem	S. Tublish was	15 Complete			
refusal of Doc to treat v Ne onsoins health problems Fluid Retencion, Gulbladder of Appetite loss of white	office of Diss.	Valles,			
of Appetite, Loss of Weight,	5 10 0	Ness, Loss			
The state of the s	ession, Anxietu	maisill - Man			
1.00		t t			
I am somitting this aries secretary wetzel, correct correct correct correct sceph silva (Doc Health care DR. Juy Cowan, The Doc HepitiLane, CHCA Susan Berrier.	terisal every	- Has Tran			
Secretary Wetzel Person and	Calilian Ca	d Kallia			
trooph silve (ma leadly was	2 500710N3, CA	There is			
Dr. Jay Consult Harris	SECULES DIETO	y J. TK. LOO! LOOK			
Lang (HOA Sissing DOC Hep. 17	HS C COMMITTEE	- Superatedent			
the block soon berner	Pg-10=2				
B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, be	fore submitting this grieva	nce			
I've seen The Habititis C M	lidick at long	of Calinac			
Nucse Know Do la notor Hardy	of the original	of Shall			
Norse Knoll, DR. Director Harbork And away and all individuals or entities responsible for my Health core and or DOC Medical lare Policy Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.					
medicalles responsible for	my Hearth cond	- and of DOC			
Your griowards has been received and will be area	oood in socialises w	DC ADM 004			
rour grievance has been received and will be proc	essed in accordance with	DU-ADINI 804.			
Allen		2-22-11			
Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator		Date			

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy **GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy**

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy, I. FAYETTE

FEB 2 2 2017

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 1 – Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 4/27/2015 Effective: 5/1/2015

SUPERINTENDENT ASSISTANT II Attachment 1-A

There is no medical reason for denging ne treated is the Medications for treating Hepititis C are fast-A safe, and effective. I should have been treated upon but the Doc has denied and delayed treatment without any medical instiffication. I am requesting treatment with direct-acting autiviral days. I also requesting Monetary Compensation for the electry and Continued denial of Manessory treatment for my Hepititis l. Raph Prime. David Piergrossi ES-3412 SOT Fayette	ent
so the Medications for treating Hepititis C are fast-A safe, and effective. I should have been treated you but the Doc has denied and delayed treatment with direct-acting autiviral drags. I a also requesting Monetary Compensation for the electry and Continued denial of Meressary treatment with direct denial of Meressary treatment and Continued denial of Meressary treatment and Maritimed denial of Meressary treatment and Meressary treatment and Principles	ears H Hrene
So the Medications for treating Hepititis C are fast-A safe, and effective. I should have been treated you but the Doc has desired and delayed treatment without any medical justification. I am requesting treatment with direct-acting antiviral drogs. I also requesting monetary Compensation for the electory and Continued devial of Necessary treatment my Hepititis C.	ears H Hrene
So the Medications for treating Hepititis C are fast-A safe, and effective. I should have been treated you soo, but the Doc has desired and delayed treatment with direct-cation. I am requesting treatment with direct-cations autiviral drays. I also repositing Monetary Compensation for the ended	ears H Hrene
Sofe, and effective. I should have been treated you bego, but the Doc has desired and delayed treatment without any medical justification. I am requesting treatment with direct-cating antiviral drugs. I also requesting monetary Compensation for the ended	ears H Hrene
is the Medications for treating Hepititis C are fast-A safe; and effective. I should have been treated you book but the Doc has desired and delayed treatment without any medical justification. I am requesting treatment with direct-coeting autiviral drogs. I a	ears +
is the Medications for treating Hepititis C are fast-A safe, and effective. I should have been treated you book but the Doc has devied and delayed treatment without any medical justification. I am requesting	ears +
is the Medications for treating Hepititis C are fast-A safe, and effective. I should have been treated you ago, but the Doc has desired and delayed treatment	sors
is the Medications for treating Hepititis C are Fast-A Soufe, and effective. I should have been treated you	eore
is the Medications for treating Hepititis care Fast-A	
There is no medical reason for deriping me "trea	Lhite
	tment,
Carcinona, to well as increased risk of I	Jecth.
at A much greater risk of developing Hepotocelle	ı
A Goodbladder loaded with Stones. And been Place	
Have Circhosis and Upricies (very lorge) EV of FV	1
The Hepititis C From Which I SUFFET is Causing ON-going and irroversible damage to my Liver.	

Case 2:17-cv-01575-MPK Document 17 Filed 02/01/18 Pag

Facility Manager's Appeal Response SCI Fayette 50 Overlook Drive LaBelle, PA 15450



This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me and any other documents submitted.

Inmate Name:	David Piergrossi	Inmate Number: ES3412		
Facility:	SCI Fayette	Unit Location: BB 1023		
raomty.	·			
Grievance #:	672757			
D. J. fi 4: /if	-uliaabla);			
Publication (if ap	opnicable).			
Decision:	X Uphold Response (UR) □ U □ Uphold Inmate (UI) □ Dismiss/Dismiss Untimely	Jphold in part/Deny in part		
in nart/Denv in pa	of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial reart. This response will include a brief rationale the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your	appeal and relief sought.		
You base your appeal on your opinion that the response you received for this grievance contains incorrect information. In her response to you CHCA Grego provided you with a complete explanation of why you are not receiving treatment for your Hepatitis C. She informed you that according to your medical file you were approved to receive treatment for your Hepatitis C. Ms. Grego also informed you that you had other medical issues that needed to be taken care of prior to starting treatment for your Hepatitis C. During her investigation she discovered that in February of 2017 you refused to have a CT scan completed and that you wrote a request to the ICN that you wanted any testing for the pursuit of the Hep. C treatment to be stopped. To support the denial of your grievance Ms. Grego referenced Section 20 of the 13.2.1 where it indicates that if you receive a drug misconduct related misconduct in the previous 12 months of incarceration, you will be excluded from Hepatitis C treatment. You received a drug related misconduct on 2-11-17. Therefore; the Hepatitis C Treatment Committee and DOC policy determined that you were no longer approved for Hepatitis C treatment. After careful evaluation of this grievance, it has been determined that the action and response provided by the investigating grievance officer will be upheld. I find the issues, raised at first-level appeal, were addressed appropriately and responsibly by staff at initial review.				
Based on the abov	ve information your appeal and all requested rel	ner are werneu.		
Signature:	Eric Armel			
Title:	A/Facility Manager			
Date:	5-22-2017			
cc: DC-15	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O			

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

File

Case 2:17-cv-01575-MPK				
<u>SCI</u>				
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER				
GRIEVANCE Jue: 5-31				
1 received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on April 26 1201				
I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on April 36-30 L				
and have the following appeal issues.				
Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.				
Rejet to DC-ADM 604, Glievance Appear i focedures, for complete institutions.				
Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.				
In Addiction to Attached:				
. Please see enclosed & Beferance to DR, Noel				
DOD Chief of Clinical Services 1920 Technology Partion				
Mechanicsborg PA. S. Tosech Harris, WD 555 adjecomb				
Avenue, Soite &B New York, NY). Both State that days &				
Mal I was a transfer of the state of Addison And in alle				
Alchol have no negative effectivaired Acting Antivircula				
And both paged A legal Role in Abu-Jamal & Wetzel Roth				
letter's on my behalf are in prepation for legal Actio				
TOTAL SOLIT WILL CONTRACT TO THE PORTION TO THE POR				
Also the reference to the reloced CT-scan DR-Werceli				
VIA. Tele Meds, He Agreed with me And ordered A Ultranson				
VIA TELE IMEAS, THE AGREED WITH THE MALL DIRECT A CONTROL BOTH				
Whick Took place (As ordered) In the First week of April.				
S.C.I. FAVETTE				
MAY 0 9 2017				
SUPERINTENDENT ASSISTANT II				
INMATE SIGNATURE				

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 2 – Appeals Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

Grievance

I am filing this grievance because the continued refusal of DOC to treat my hepatitis C is causing me ongoing health problems, including decompensated cirrhosis, esophageal varices, fluid retention, gallbladder attacks, dizziness, loss of appetite and weight, impaired memory, elevated ammonia levels, depression, anxiety, and vision problems.

I am submitting this grievance against the DOC, Secretary Wetzel, Correct Care Solutions, Carl Keldie, Joseph Silva (DOC Health Care Services Director), Dr. Paul Noel, Dr. Jay Cowan, the DOC's hepatitis C committee, Superintendent Lane, CHCA Susan Berrier, Dr, Herbik, Nurse Knoll and any and all individuals or entities responsible for my health care and/or DOC medical care policy.

The hepatitis C from which I suffer is causing on-going and irreversible damage to my liver. I have cirrhosis and the above-named symptoms, and been placed at a much greater risk of developing liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma, as well as an increased risk of death

DOC has known I have been in need of treatment for hepatitis C since I became incarcerated again in May 2015.

I am not being treated today, April 2017, nor was I treated at all in March, February, January, or any other previous time, despite it being known by all medical staff that I am in need of treatment. Every day I am not treated, including and up to the present and the 15 previous working days before filing this grievance I have been in need of treatment and been refused such in violation of my rights under the Eighth Amendment and state medical malpractice law.

Dr. Herbik and nurse Knoll at SCI Fayette recently informed me that I would not be treated due to the results of a urinalysis test. This is not a medically valid reason, however, for refusing me life-saving medical treatment. The result of the urinalysis does not present a medical contraindication for administration of the direct-acting antiviral medications.

As recently recognized by a federal court in *Abu-Jamal v. Wetzel*, I have an Eighth Amendment right to treatment for my hepatitis C in accord with the standard of care in the community. This was true when I entered the DOC again in May 2015, and it remains true today, April (\$\frac{1}{2}\$2017.

There is no medical reason for denying me treatment, as the medications for treating hepatitis C are fast-acting, safe, and effective. I should have been treated years ago, but the DOC has denied and delayed treatment without any medical justification up to the present moment. I am requesting treatment with direct-acting antiviral drugs. I am also requesting monetary compensation for the extreme delay and continued denial of necessary treatment for my hepatitis C.

DATE: April 12017

S.C.I. PAYETTE S.C.I. PAYETTE

APR 1 2 2017

David Piergrossi #ES3412

MAY 09 2017

SUPERINTENDENT ASSISTANT II SUPERINTENDENT ASSISTANT II

INITIAL REVIEW RESPONSE

SCI Fayette 50 Overlook Drive LaBelle, PA 15450

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows

			•		
Inmate Name:	Piergrossi, David	Inmate Number:	ES3412		
Essilitus	CCI Equatio	Unit Location:	10 day -175-00		
Facility:	SCI Fayette	Unit Location:	1847 3630		
Grievance #:	672757	Grievance Date:	4/11/2017		
Publication (if ap	pplicable):				
Decision: Uphold Inmate If is the decision of this grievance officer to uphold, deny or uphold in part/deny in part the inmate's initial grievance. This response will include a brief rationale, summarize the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and, relief sought. Response: Frivolous Frivolous					
having a positive related miscondu- treatment.	refuse to have the CT scan completed and it was discontinued. On 2/11/2017 you received a misconduct for having a positive urine for drugs. It is outlined in DOC policy 13.2.1 Section 20 that if you receive a drug related misconduct in the previous 12 months of incarceration, you will be excluded from the Hepatitis C treatment.				
In conclusion: The DOC is not refusing to treat your Hepatitis C. You were approved the Hepatitis C treatment. You received a misconduct for a positive drug screen. The Hepatitis C Treatment Committee was made aware of your misconduct. It is determined by the Committee and DOC policy that you were no longer approved for this treatment.					
This Grievance is	denied.				
2000年1900年1900年1900年1900年					
Signature:	Nedra Grego Mala 12 Ch				
Title:	Corrections Health Care Administrator	S.C	J. PAYETTE		
Date:	9-24-17	. Co ADD	26 2017		
cc: Facility Gri	evance Coordinator	S.C.I. FAVETTE APR	20-CUI/		
DC-15 File		MAY 09 2017 SUPERINTEN	DENT ASSISTANT II		

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manualintendent Assistant II
Section 1 – Grievances & Initial Review

Attachment 1-D

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

INITIAL REVIEW RESPONSE

SCI Fayette 50 Overlook Drive LaBelle, PA 15450

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows

Inmate Name:	Piergrossi, David	Inmate Number: ES3412			
Facility:	SCI Fayette	Unit Location: JB-17			
Grievance #:	672757	Grievance Date: 4/11/2017			
Publication (if a	pplicable):				
Decision:	☐ Uphold Inmate ☑ Grievance Denied ☐ Uphold in part/Denied in	part			
grievance. This re	of this grievance officer to uphol	l, deny or uphold in part/deny in part the inmate's initial anale, summarize the conclusion, any action taken to resolve			
Response:		Frivolous			
	I reviewed your Grievance and medical records. You claim that the DOC is refusing to treat your Hepatitis C which is causing your ongoing health problems.				
other medical iss process several t 2017. You also w the Hepatitis C tr refuse to have th having a positive	According to your medical records, you were approved to receive the Hepatitis C treatment. You also had other medical issues that had to be addressed such as anemia, blood in your stools and varices. During this process several tests were ordered and at one time you refused to have a CT scan completed in February of 2017. You also wrote a request to the Infectious Control Nurse that you wanted any testing or the pursuit of the Hepatitis C treatment to be discontinued. The Nurse scheduled you with Dr. Herbik and you continued to refuse to have the CT scan completed and it was discontinued. On 2/11/2017 you received a misconduct for having a positive urine for drugs. It is outlined in DOC policy 13.2.1 Section 20 that if you receive a drug related misconduct in the previous 12 months of incarceration, you will be excluded from the Hepatitis C treatment.				
In conclusion: The DOC is not refusing to treat your Hepatitis C. You were approved the Hepatitis C treatment. You received a misconduct for a positive drug screen. The Hepatitis C Treatment Committee was made aware of your misconduct. It is determined by the Committee and DOC policy that you were no longer approved for this treatment.					
This Grievance is	s denied.				
Signature:	Nedra Grego	2 CHM			
Title:	Corrections Health Care Admi				
Date:	4-24-1				
cc: Facility Gri	ievance Coordinator	MIN-20-CUIT			
DC-15		Atto.			
File		Superintendent assistant II			

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 1 – Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016 Attachment 1-D

DC-804 Part 1

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

OFFI	CIAL	INMAA	CE GR	EVANCE
VEL	IVIAL	HARRIMI	ie uni	CVMINGE

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE						
TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR	FACILITY: DATE:					
Khonda House	SCI Foundte April 1142017					
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)	SIGNATURE OF INMATE:					
David tiergrossi 85-3412	Mary Peri					
WORK ASSIGNMENT:	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:					
Commission of the Commission o	JB-17					
INSTRUCTIONS:						
1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.						
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.						
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of						
staff members you have contacted.						
A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two						
pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.						
Please See Atached For						
Mease See At	rached for					
1 1 1 1 -	staff Contacted.					
details, And s	truff (ontractee.					
•						
•						
B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.						
The design state of the four factors, policie outsitions and grievelines.						
See Atachel details						
See ATached details						
~ 1						
Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.						
WN1100 4-12-11						
Signature of Pacilly Grevance Coordinator	Date					
* 1 T **						
	File Copy PINK Action Return Copy					
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy	S.C.I. PAYETTE					

APR 1 2 2017

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016 SUPERINTENDENT ASSISTANT II

Attachment 1-A

Grievance

I am filing this grievance because the continued refusal of DOC to treat my hepatitis C is causing me ongoing health problems, including decompensated cirrhosis, esophageal varices, fluid retention, gallbladder attacks, dizziness, loss of appetite and weight, impaired memory, elevated ammonia levels, depression, anxiety, and vision problems.

I am submitting this grievance against the DOC, Secretary Wetzel, Correct Care Solutions, Carl Keldie, Joseph Silva (DOC Health Care Services Director), Dr. Paul Noel, Dr. Jay Cowan, the DOC's hepatitis C committee, Superintendent Lane, CHCA Susan Berrier, Dr, Herbik, Nurse Knoll and any and all individuals or entities responsible for my health care and/or DOC medical care policy.

The hepatitis C from which I suffer is causing on-going and irreversible damage to my liver. I have cirrhosis and the above-named symptoms, and been placed at a much greater risk of developing liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma, as well as an increased risk of death

DOC has known I have been in need of treatment for hepatitis C since I became incarcerated again in May 2015.

I am not being treated today, April 2017, nor was I treated at all in March, February, January, or any other previous time, despite it being known by all medical staff that I am in need of treatment. Every day I am not treated, including and up to the present and the 15 previous working days before filing this grievance I have been in need of treatment and been refused such in violation of my rights under the Eighth Amendment and state medical malpractice law.

Dr. Herbik and nurse Knoll at SCI Fayette recently informed me that I would not be treated due to the results of a urinalysis test. This is not a medically valid reason, however, for refusing me life-saving medical treatment. The result of the urinalysis does not present a medical contraindication for administration of the direct-acting antiviral medications.

As recently recognized by a federal court in *Abu-Jamal v. Wetzel*, I have an Eighth Amendment right to treatment for my hepatitis C in accord with the standard of care in the community. This was true when I entered the DOC again in May 2015, and it remains true today, April 12017.

There is no medical reason for denying me treatment, as the medications for treating hepatitis C are fast-acting, safe, and effective. I should have been treated years ago, but the DOC has denied and delayed treatment without any medical justification up to the present moment. I am requesting treatment with direct-acting antiviral drugs. I am also requesting monetary compensation for the extreme delay and continued denial of necessary treatment for my hepatitis C.

DATE: April 14, 2017

S.C.I. PAVETTE

David Piergrossi #ES3412

APR 1 2 2017